

OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				T	T	
APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/020,062		10/30/2001	John W. Linebarger	1458	1458 9876	
21396	7590	06/12/2006		EXAN	EXAMINER	
Sprint 6391 SPRIN	T PARKV	VAY	HOANG	HOANG, THAI D		
KSOPHT010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/020,062	LINEBARGER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	· · ·	Thai D. Hoang	2616
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHO WHICH - Extens after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rej	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		9	
2a)⊠ ⊺ 3)□ S	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Amer</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositio	n of Claims		. •
5)⊠ (6 6)⊠ (6 7)⊠ (8)	Claim(s) 1-28 and 41-56 is/are pending in the all of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw claim(s) 56 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12,14,15,18-20,22-28,41-50 and 52 claim(s) 13,16-17,21,51 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or n Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and acceptance and acceptance and acceptance are subjected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine the oath or declaration is obje	vn from consideration. 2-55 is/are rejected. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) is have been received. In Application ity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152. -(d) or (f). on No d in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/020,062

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 23-28, 41-48 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Smith et al, US Patent No. 5,694,414, hereinafter referred to as Smith.

Regarding claim 1-2, 6, 8, 28 and 41-42, Smith discloses a method and system called "Multi-band, multi-mode spread-spectrum communication system." Smith discloses the system uses more than one frequency band, wherein selectable frequency bands include both licensed and unlicensed frequency bands, particularly frequency bands including the 902-928 MHz, 1850-1990 MHz (licensed), and 2.4-2.4835 GHz (unlicensed) frequency bands. The system comprising:

A transmitter (fig. 2) and a receiver (fig.3), wherein the transmitter and the receiver configured to communicate over licensed and unlicensed frequency bands, abstract, fig. 2-3 and 8-10, col. 3, lines 8-18 (a licensed spectrum transceiver configured to communicate over licensed spectrum; and an unlicensed spectrum transceiver configured to communicate over unlicensed spectrum);

a mode controller 103 to control licensed and unlicensed frequency bands for communication, fig. 2-3 (a spectrum selector configured to select the licensed transceiver or the unlicensed transceiver for communication.)

Regarding claims 3 and 43, in figure 8 Smith discloses the dual transceiver device 410 provides access to a select one of the plurality of sub-bands 402 in the first bandwidth 400 (licensed), and may be switched to provide access to a select one of the plurality of sub-bands 406 in the second bandwidth 405 (unlicensed), col. 14, lines 48-52 (wherein the spectrum selector is configured to select the other of the licensed transceiver or the unlicensed transceiver to transmit a second communication.)

Regarding claims 4 and 44, the controller 103 in the receiver (fig. 3) configured to receive a communication from both licensed and unlicensed frequency bands, abstract, fig. 2-3 and 8-10, col. 3, lines 8-18 (wherein the spectrum selector is configured to receive a communication from the licensed transceiver or the unlicensed transceiver.)

Regarding claims 5 and 45, in figure 8 Smith discloses the dual transceiver device 410 provides access to a select one of the plurality of sub-bands 402 in the first bandwidth 400 (licensed), and may be switched to provide access to a select one of the plurality of sub-bands 406 in the second bandwidth 405 (unlicensed), col. 14, lines 48-52 (wherein the spectrum selector is configured to receive another communication from the other of the licensed transceiver or the unlicensed transceiver.)

Regarding claims 7, 9-10, 46-48, in figure 8 Smith discloses the dual transceiver device 410 provides access to a select one of the plurality of sub-bands 402 in the first bandwidth 400 (licensed), and may be switched to provide access to a select one of the plurality of sub-bands 406 in the second bandwidth 405 (unlicensed), col. 14, lines 48-52 (the spectrum selector is configured to transmit a first communication to the unlicensed transceiver and a second communication to the licensed transceiver; the

Art Unit: 2616

unlicensed transceiver configured to transmit the first communication; and the licensed transceiver is configured to transmit the second communication.)

Regarding claims 23 and 55, Smith discloses the transmitter (fig. 2) comprises modulators 111 and 113, and the receiver (fig. 3) comprises demodulators 213 and 217. Moreover, the transmit information processing 101 (fig. 2) inherently comprises an encoder to encode information for transmission, and the received information processing 219 (fig. 3) inherently comprises a decoder to decode the received information (wherein the spectrum selector is configured to process a communication with at least one member of a group comprising encryption, de-encryption, coding, decoding, modulation, and demodulation.)

Regarding claim 24, Smith discloses the system comprises base stations and frequency ranges, see fig. 4-5 and 7 (further comprising a base station within a range of which the spectrum selector exists.)

Regarding claim 25, Smith discloses the system comprises a dual band antenna 109 for transmitting and receiving frequency bands (further comprising an antenna configured to transmit a communication via a spectrum or receive the communication via the spectrum.)

Regarding claims 26-27, in figure 8, Smith discloses a transceiver device 410 for transmitting and receiving information to/from the system (further comprising an access device configured to communicate with the spectrum selector, wherein the access device is configured to transmit, receive, or transmit and receive.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/020,062

Art Unit: 2616

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-12 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al, US Patent No. 5,694,414, in view of Hamada et al., US Patent No. 6,873,607, hereinafter referred to as Smith and Hamada respectively.

Regarding claims 11-12 and 49, Smith does not discloses the spectrum selector is configured to select a first spectrum for operation and to select a different spectrum for operation if an interference event occurs for the first spectrum. However, Hamada discloses a system and method called "Interference detection method and an interference avoidance method." Hamada teaches that the interference in the R channels through which the subscriber stations (21 to 24) issue a call request to the base station (1) can be detected precisely, and time slot arrangement of the R channels is changed by detecting the interference to thus avoid the interference, abstract, fig. 4-12, col. 1, line 57-col. 2, line 9, col. 3, lines 49-62. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt Hamada's method into the system disclosed by Smith in order to improve the quality of services and customer service because of changing the interference channels.

Claims 14-15 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al, US Patent No. 5,694,414, in view of Shibutani, US Patent No. 6,940,824 hereinafter referred to as Smith and Shibutani respectively.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 14 and 50, Smith does not disclose the spectrum selector is configured to select a first spectrum for transmission of at least one communication for a guaranteed service. However, Shibutani discloses method and system called "Slot Assignment Algorithm." Shibutani teaches that the algorithms guarantee the minimum service to access terminals with poor channel conditions by allocating at least one slot group to each of access terminal groups with poor channel conditions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt Karabinis's method into the system disclosed by Smith in order to improve quality of service and customer service as mentioned above with respect to claim 11.

Regarding claim 15, since Shibutani discloses the system supports mobile telecommunication 3rd generation and beyond. It indicates the system uses licensed frequency bands.

Claims 18-20 and 52-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al, US Patent No. 5,694,414, in view of Karabinis et al., US Patent No. 6,892,068 B2, hereinafter referred to as Smith and Karabinis respectively.

Regarding claims 18-20 and 52-53, Smith does not disclose the spectrum selector is configured to select a first spectrum for operation and to select a different spectrum for operation if a capacity event occurs for the first spectrum. However, Karabinis discloses a method and system called "Coordinated satellite-terrestrial frequency reuse." Karabinis teaches that if channels associated with one particular spot beam get too congest the system borrows or reuse frequency spectrum from other spot beams that have available capacity; fig. 8s, col. 20, line 46-col.21, line 61. It would have

Application/Control Number: 10/020,062

Art Unit: 2616

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt Karabinis's method into the system disclosed by Smith in order to improve the quality of services because the system avoids congestion.

Claims 22 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al, US Patent No. 5,694,414 as shown above, in view of Agannatharao et al., US Patent No. 6,952,434 B1, hereinafter referred to as Smith and Agannatharao respectively.

Regarding claims 22 and 54, Smith does not discloses the system configured to process a communication with an inverse multiplexing asynchronous transfer mode (IMA) protocol. However, Agannatharao discloses the system, which comprises IMA group devices 150 and 106. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Agannatharao's IMA protocol into the system disclosed by Smith in order to speed-up the system since the IMA allows high-speed streams of ATM cells to be broken up and be transmitted across multiple T1/E1 communication links.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/06/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding "Licensed and Unlicensed Spectrum Transceiver", page 10 of the remarks, Applicants argue, "[E]ach of the transmitter and receiver of Smith only provide for a single transmitter or receiver capable of switching between narrowband and spread-spectrum modes, not two separate transceivers, as provided for in claims 1 and

Art Unit: 2616

41." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Although the transmitter and the receiver are shown separately in figures 2 and 3, but they are integrated in a single telephone handset 410 (figure 8), which transmits and receives signal in license and unlicensed spectrum band. See abstract, fig. 2-3 and 8-10, col. 3, lines 8-18. Therefore, telephone handset 410 performs transceiver's functions. It does not "only provide for a single transmitter or receiver" as Applicants' argument. Secondly, telephone handset 410 comprises a narrowband modulator 113 for transmitting unlicensed spectrum band and a narrowband demodulator 213 for receiving unlicensed spectrum band. It indicates that the telephone handset 410 comprises an unlicensed spectrum transceiver. Similarly, telephone handset 410 comprises a spread spectrum modulator 111 for transmitting licensed spectrum band and a spread spectrum despread 215 for receiving licensed spectrum band. It indicates that the telephone handset 410 comprises a licensed spectrum transceiver. Thus, telephone handset 410 comprises two separate transceivers: licensed transceiver and unlicensed transceiver.

Regarding "Spectrum Selector", pages 10-11 of the remarks, Applicants argue, "the mode controller 103 is not configured to select a licensed transceiver and an unlicensed transceiver". Examiner respectfully disagrees. As explained above, the telephone handset 410 comprises two separate transceivers: licensed transceiver and unlicensed transceiver. For transmitting, the mode controller 103 controls a mode select switch 104 which directs the processed information signal to the narrowband modulator 113 (unlicensed spectrum) or the spread-spectrum modulator 111 (licensed spectrum). See fig. 2, col. 6, lines 52-62. For receiving, the mode controller 103 is used to select

reception of narrowband (unlicensed spectrum) or spread-spectrum modulation (licensed spectrum). See fig. 3, col. 7, lines 37-39.

Thus, Smith teaches every element recited in claims 1 and 14.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 16-17, 21 and 51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 56 is allowed for reasons given in the previous action.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai D. Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3184. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10:00am-6:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,062 Page 10

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on (571) 272-7629179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thai Hoang

DORIS H. TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600